

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,637	01/29/2004	Michael D. Mason	2731/103	5420
2101 7	590 01/13/2005		EXAMINER	
BROMBERG & SUNSTEIN LLP 125 SUMMER STREET			BONDERER, DAVID A	
BOSTON, MA 02110-1618			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1// /
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	V
Office Action Summary		10/767,637	MASON, MICHAEL D.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		D. Austin Bonderer	3732	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION in time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by a reply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in. a reply within the statutory minimum of thin eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncondition.	This action is non-final. owance except for formal mat	• •	is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>3-5</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya orrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). I(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No I received in this National Stage	
Attachmen	t(s)			
2) Notice 3) Information Paper	re of References Cited (PTO-892) re of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/767,637

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Nicholson ('769).

Nicholson discloses an implant with projections that are secured in grooves specifically created to correspond to a plurality of projections of the implant (Figs 7 and 8). The projections expand as they move away from the implant. The implant is also capable to achieve fusion without

graft material. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to leave out the bone graft material if such material was not available. The Applicant has not stated how the implant of Nicholson would not achieve fusion without graft material. It is not disclosed how the instant invention achieves fusion other than the implant being hallow and allowing fluid communication between the different vertebrae. This is the same design as employed by Nicholson. Bone graft is used to spur growth and to make it occur at a faster rate. However, growth will still occur in the absence of graft material. It just happens to take a little longer.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 3-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 12-16-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The issue centers around the claim language of 'fusion of the vertebrae is achieved without a bone graph." The examiner upon much deliberation considers this limitation to be analogous to the limitation of an element being 'capable of' performing the cited limitation. Here the implant of Nicholson is hallow can perform in the same manner as the instant invention. Just as the instant invention could employ bone graph material. While it is understood that the instant invention is a method that calls for the fusion without a graft, it is still not, in the view of the examiner, distinguishable from Nicholson. The structures of both employ the same concept of allowing fluid

communication between the two vertebrae so that they may fuse together. It is well known that this fusion will occur with or without graft material.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Austin Bonderer whose telephone number is 571.272.4708. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on 571.272.4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/767,637

Art Unit: 3732

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dab

PEDRO PHÍLOGÉNÉ PRIMARY FXAMINER